

Panaji, 25th November, 2021 (Agrahayana 4, 1943)

SERIES II No. 35

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Note:- There is one Extraordinary issue to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 34 dated 18-11-2021 Extraordinary dated 18-11-2021 from pages 723 to 728 regarding Order from Department of Elections.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services

Directorate of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services

Order

No. 2-14-93-AH/Part-IV/2021-22/4856

Read: No. 2-14-93-AH/Part-IV/2021-22/2278 dated 03-08-2021.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/1/5/3(1)/2020/122 dated 15-07-2021, the Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Dr. Loveleen Maria Vaz to the post of "Veterinary Officer", Group 'B' Gazetted in the Directorate of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services, Panaji, Goa on regular basis in the Pay Scale of PB-2: Rs. 9,300-34,800/- with Grade pay of Rs. 4,600/- plus 20% NPA (Level-7 of the 7th Pay Commission) and other allowances as applicable.

Her appointment will take effect from the date of her joining the post. Her character and antecedents has been verified by the District Magistrate North Goa and she has been declared medically fit by the Medical Board, Goa Medical College, Bambolim. Upon joining the service she shall be posted at Veterinary Dispensary, Vasco.

She shall draw her salary against the newly created post vide Order No. 8-2(47)/18-19/4420 dated 17-10-2018 under Budget Head Demand No. 65 "2403—Animal Husbandry, 00—, 101—Veterinary Services and Animal Health, 06—Veterinary Dispensaries and Hospitals, 01—Salaries."

The above Officer shall be on probation for a period of 2 years from the date of joining the Post.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. Agostinho Misquita, Director & ex officio Jt. Secretary (AH).

Panaji, 16th November, 2021.

Order

No. 2-14-93-AH/Part-IV/2021-22/4857

Read: No. 2-14-93-AH/Part-IV/2021-22/2277 dated 03-08-2021.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/1/5/3(1)/2020/122 dated 15-07-2021, the Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Dr. Deepika Prabhakar P. to the post of "Veterinary Officer", Group 'B' Gazetted in the Directorate of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services, Panaji, Goa on regular basis in the Pay Scale of PB-2: Rs. 9,300-34,800/- with Grade pay of Rs. 4600/- plus 20% NPA (Level-7 of the 7th Pay Commission) and other allowances as applicable.

Her appointment will take effect from the date of her joining the post. Her character and antecedents has been verified by the District Magistrate South Goa and she has been declared medically fit by the Medical Board, Goa Medical College, Bambolim. Upon joining the service she shall be posted at Veterinary Dispensary, Savoi Verem.

She shall draw her salary against the newly created post vide Order No. 8-2(47)/18-19/4420 dated 17-10-2018 under Budget Head Demand No. 65 "2403—Animal Husbandry, 00—, 101—Veterinary Services and Animal Health, 06—Veterinary Dispensaries and Hospitals, 01—Salaries."

The above Officer shall be on probation for a period of 2 years from the date of joining the Post.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. *Agostinho Misquita*, Director & ex officio Jt. Secretary (AH).

Panaji, 16th November, 2021.

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Order

No. 3/4/Urban Credit/TS-II/BZ/2017/RCS/2380.

- Read: 1. Circular No. 6-13-81/EST/RCS/Part V/5833 dated 06-03-2020.
2. Order No. 48-8-2001-Ts-RCs/III/311 dated 21-04-2017.
3. Letter No. ARCS/BZ/ADT/CIRCULAR/639 dated 14-10-2021.

Whereas, Adv. Sushma T. Mandrekar alias Sushma Harish Chodankar, having office at H. No. 713/33, Ardhawada Mayem, Bicholim-Goa was appointed as Registrar's Nominee under Section 86(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 read with Rule 116 (1) of The Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003 vide Order read at Sr. No. 2 above and her name is reflecting at Sr. No. 21 in the said Order.

And whereas, the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Bicholim Zone, Sankhali vide letter at Sr. No. 3 above, has forwarded the request of The Bicholim Mahila Urban Co-operative Credit Society Ltd., Bicholim-Goa to designate Adv. Sushma T. Mandrekar alias Sushma Harish Chodankar as its dedicated nominee to adjudicate dispute referred by society.

In view of above, the above named nominee Adv. Sushma T. Mandrekar alias Sushma Harish Chodankar in exercise of powers conferred under Section 123(B) of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001 I, the undersigned hereby authorize the above named nominee to entertain the disputes referred to her on recovery of loan overdue matter by The Bicholim Mahila Urban Co-operative Credit Society Ltd., Bicholim-Goa.

When a purported dispute is referred by the society, the above named nominee is authorised to first decide under sub-section (1) of Section 86 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act whether the matter referred by society involves a dispute and pass appropriate Orders under sub-section (1) of Section 86.

Only upon the nominee satisfying that there exists a dispute she shall proceed to adjudicate the same and give award accordingly. The nominee shall abide by the terms and condition on which he was originally appointed as nominee read at Sr. No. 2 above.

This appointment shall come into force with immediate effect and shall remain in force for one year and same can be withdrawn at any stage without assigning any reason.

Arvind B. Khutkar, Registrar of Co-op Societies.

Panaji, 12th November, 2021.

Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Education

Order

No. 1(2)-17-2016/SE/Part file/580

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/1/5/15(1)/2019/202 dated 04-08-2021, Government is pleased to appoint Shri Vidhyadatta Bhikaro Naik to the post of "Assistant Director of Education", Group 'A' Gazetted on temporary basis under the Directorate of Education in Level-12 of pay Matrix as per VII Pay Commission corresponding to PB-3 Rs.15,600-39,100/- + Grade Pay Rs. 7,600/- (Part B) under VI-Pay Commission Scale [+02 (two) non compounded increment on initial pay] and other allowances as admissible under the rules from time to time on the same terms of appointment as stated in his offer of appointment issued vide Memorandum dated 02-11-2021.

The above appointment to the post of Assistant Director of Education shall be with effect from the date he reports for duty in the office where he is posted.

He shall be on probation for a period of two years from the date of his appointment.

On his above appointment, he is posted as Assistant Director of Education (Academics) under this Directorate against the vacant post.

He has been declared medically fit for the above appointment by the competent medical authority and nothing adverse is reported against him after verification of Character and Antecedents by the competent authority.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Bhushan K. Savaikar, Director & ex officio Jt. Secretary (Education).

Porvorim, 17th November, 2021.

Department of Finance
Office of the Commissioner of Commercial Taxes

—
Trade Circular
(No. 1 of 2021-22)
No. CCT/26-2/2021-22

Consequent upon implementation of Goods and Services Tax regime w.e.f. 01st July, 2017, an opportunity for applying for the statutory Declaration Forms was given to the Dealers vide Trade Circular No. 1 of 2017-18 dated 14th September, 2017, published in the Official Gazette, Series I No. 25 dated 21st September, 2017 vide which last date for applying for statutory forms was stated as 31st October, 2017 which was further extended from time to time and finally till 31st March, 2021 by issuing the below given Trade Circulars.

Sr. No.	Trade Circular No.	Circular date	Last date prescribed for availing statutory Declaration Forms
1	2	3	4
1.	1 of 2017-18	14-09-2017	31-10-2017.
2.	2 of 2017-18	31-10-2017	30-11-2017.
3.	3 of 2017-18	29-11-2017	27-12-2017.
4.	4 of 2017-18	04-01-2018	—
5.	5 of 2017-18	19-02-2018	31-03-2018.
6.	1 of 2018-19	11-09-2018	20-11-2018.
7.	3 of 2018-19	14-03-2019	30-04-2019.
8.	1 of 2019-20	21-11-2019	31-12-2019.
9.	1 of 2020-21	30-11-2020	31-03-2021.

This office has received several representations that some statutory Declaration Forms are still pending since the Dealers failed to apply in time by availing the above given opportunities.

Since the prescribed limitation period for completing the assessments under the Goa Value Added Tax Act, 2005 for the period upto 30-06-2017 is now over, it is decided to permanently keep the option of submitting online requests for issue of statutory Declaration Forms.

The Dealers shall upload their requests for statutory Declaration Forms online using their user ID and the Appropriate Assessing Authority having jurisdiction shall verify the application, and upon being satisfied about the correctness and eligibility, may approve the form or reject the request for valid reasons after giving an opportunity of hearing to the applicant Dealer.

The Appropriate Assessing Authority shall carefully verify the following points before approving or rejecting the request for statutory Declaration Forms.

- a. Whether registration certificate of Dealer was valid for the period for which Declaration Forms are applied;

- b. Whether the transactions mentioned in the statutory Declaration Forms were properly disclosed in the returns filed by the Dealer;
- c. Whether the applicant Dealer is eligible for the issue of statutory Declaration Forms.

The applicant dealer shall download, print, sign and issue the Declaration Form at his end.

This issues with the approval of the Government.

Hemant Kumar, IAS, Commissioner (State Tax).

Panaji, 15th November, 2021.

◆◆◆
Department of Home
(Foreigners & Citizenship Division)

—
Order

No. 12/4/2017-HD(F&CD)/CEP/Vol. II/323

In pursuance of Clause (e) of Section 2 of the Goa (Abolition of Proprietorship, Titles and Grants of Lands) Act, 2014 (Goa Act 8 of 2014) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby appoints Additional Collector-III, North Goa, for the purposes of the said Act.

Further, in pursuance of Clause (e) of Section 2 of the said Act read with Section 21 of the General Clauses Act, 1897 (Act No.10 of 1897), the Government of Goa hereby amends the Government Order No. 12/4/2017-HD(F&CD)/CEP/Vol.II/351 dated 01-10-2018, published in the Official Gazette (hereinafter referred to as the "principal order") as follows, namely:-

- (1) Additional Collector I, North Goa.
- (2) Additional Collector II, North Goa.
- (3) Additional Collector I, South Goa.
- (4) Additional Collector-II, South Goa.

In the principal Order, for the existing entry at serial No. (2), the following entry shall be substituted, namely:-

- (2) "Additional Collector III, North Goa".

This order shall come into force with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Girish G. Sawant, Under Secretary (Home-II).

Porvorim, 18th November, 2021.

Home—General Division

Order

No. 24/51/2012-HD(G)/3918

On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee as conveyed by Goa Public Service Commission vide letter No. COM/II/11/58(2)/2011/366 dated 13-10-2021, the Government is pleased to promote the following Assistant Public Prosecutor to the post of Public Prosecutor (Group "A" Gazetted) in the Directorate of Prosecution on regular basis in Level 11 of the Pay Matrix as per C.C.S. (Revised Pay) Rules, 2016 and other allowances to be fixed as per Rules with immediate effect.

1. Shri Darshan D. Gawas.

The above candidate shall be on probation for a period of two years with effect from date of joining. The pay of the above officers shall be fixed in terms of provision of F.R. 22(1)(a)(1) and he shall exercise his option within one month from the date of issue of this order.

The expenditure towards the pay and allowances shall be debited under:-

Demand No. 5

- 2014 — Administration of Justice, 00
- 114 — Legal Advisors & Counsels

- 01 — Public Prosecutors (North Goa)
- 02 — Public Prosecutors (South Goa)
- 01 — Salaries".

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Girish G. Sawant, Under Secretary, II (Home).
Porvorim, 15th November, 2021.

Department of Labour

Order

No. 28/41/2021-LAB/549

Whereas, the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Galaxy Instrumentation, near Maruti Temple, Gaulem Bhat, Chimbhel, Tiswadi, Goa and it's workman, Shri Narendra Gaude, Validation Technician, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas, the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court-II of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7(1) of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- "(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Galaxy Instrumentation, near Maruti Temple, Gaulem Bhat, Chimbhel, Tiswadi, Goa in terminating the services of Shri Narendra Gaude, Validation Technician, with effect from 01-06-2019, is legal and justified?
- (2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amalia O. F. Pinto, Under Secretary (Labour).
Porvorim, 15th November, 2021.

Notification

No. 24/21/2021/Lab/566

In accordance with the guidelines issued by the Secretary to Government of India, Ministry of

Labour & Employment, New Delhi, vide D. O. letter No. M-16011/01/2019-SS-III dated 13-08-2021 for constitution of State Level Monitoring Committee for monitoring the Implementation and Registration of Unorganised Workers working in Unorganised Sector in the State of Goa. The Government of Goa hereby constitute the State Level Monitoring Committee comprising of following members:-

- I. The Chief Secretary — Chairperson.
- II. The Secretary (Labour) — Member.
 - a. The Secretary, Information Technology, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa — Member.
 - b. The Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa — Member.
 - c. The Secretary, Directorate of Panchayat, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa — Member.
 - d. The Secretary, Directorate of Health Services, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa — Member.
 - e. The Secretary, Directorate of Agriculture, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa — Member.
 - f. The Secretary, Industries Trade and Commerce, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa — Member.
 - g. The Secretary, Urban Affairs Department, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa — Member.
 - h. The Secretary, Women & Child Development, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa — Member.
 - i. The Secretary, Information and Publicity, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa — Member.
 - j. The Secretary, Directorate of Education, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa — Member.
 - k. The Secretary, Directorate of Social Welfare, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa — Member.

- l. The Secretary, Directorate of Fisheries, Government of Goa, Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa — Member.
- III. The Commissioner, Labour & Employment, Government of Goa, Shram Shakti Bhavan, 2nd Floor, Patto Plaza, Panaji-Goa — Member Secretary.
- IV. The State Head, CSC e- governance Services India Ltd., Skylark Complex, 1st Floor, Office No. 120, Plot No. 63, Sector-11, Belapur, Navi Mumbai — Member.
- V. The Welfare and Cess Commissioner, 2nd floor, Block CGO Complex, Seminar Hills, Nagpur, 440006 — Member.
- VI
 - a. The President/General Secretary, (AITUC) All India Trade Union Congress, Velho Building, 2nd floor, Panaji-Goa — Member.
 - b. The President/General Secretary, Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh, G-5, Macedo Apartment, Tisk, Ponda-Goa — Member.
 - c. The President/General Secretary, Bharatiya Mazdoor Sangh, Kamakshi Krupa, Tisk, Ponda-Goa — Member.
 - d. The President/General Secretary, Kamgaranchho Ekvott, Gurudatta Building, 3rd floor, Panaji-Goa — Member.
 - e. The President/General Secretary, Indian National Trade Union Congress, Goa Branch, Panaji-Goa — Member.
 - f. The President/General Secretary, Goa Toddy Tappers Association, Lotus Apartment, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa — Member.
 - g. The President/General Secretary, Goa Shops & Industrial Workers Union, 150 A, Comba, Margao-Goa — Member.
 - h. The President/General Secretary, Goa Tourist Taxi Association, Opp. Municipal Garden, Velho's Building, 2nd floor, Panaji-Goa — Member.
 - i. The President/General Secretary (CITU), Central Indian Trade Union, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa — Member.

- j. The President, Mandovi — Member.
Fishermen Marketing
Co-operative Society Limited,
Betim-Goa
- k. The President, Malim, — Member.
Cutbona, Vasco Fisheries
Co-operative Societies Limited,
Cutbona, Salcete-Goa
- l. The Chairman, Akhil Goa — Member.
Harkari Sahakari Saunstha,
Naik Villa, A. B. Borkar Road,
Panaji-Goa
- m. The President, Goa Cashew — Member.
Manufacturers Association,
Menezes Braganza Road,
Miramar-Goa

The State Level Monitoring Committee shall have the following functions:

- I. Review and monitor the process of registration of unorganised worker with DCs/DMs/Collectors district wise.
- II. Monitor the working of field level Common Service Centers (CSCs) and State Sewa Kendras (SSK) district wise along with the target defined.
- III. Monitor mobilization of target sub-Group of Unorganised workers such as MGNREGA Workers, SHG members under NRLM/NULM, Street Vendors, Rickshaw-pullers, construction (BOCW) workers, Mid-day meal workers, Domestic workers, ASHA Workers, Anganwadi Workers, Agriculture Labourers, Fishermen and brick-kin workers through respective line departments district-wise for registration under eSHRAM.
- IV. Monitor registration of bulk registration of BOCW/ASHA/Anganwadi/MGNREGA/Domestic Workers/Mid-day meal workers/Fishermen to register under the eSHRAM.
- V. Channelise labour union/workers associations/federations/civil society organisation working for the welfare of unorganised workers to convince and mobilize their respective members to register under the eSHRAM portal.
- VI. Ensure holding of regular registration Camps at the district and CSC level for the unorganised workers (Kamgars).
- VII. Strategies to have information, Education and Communication (IEC) with prospective beneficiaries of eSHRAM and their implementation through State Labour Department and other official machinery.

VIII. Devise and Monitor implementation of State wideawareness programs through discussions, seminars, public meetings, students participation, Universities, Colleges, media channels, News items, Campaigns, Pamphlets, Posters, Banners, help-desk tables in district labour offices/skill development centers/strategic locations/other prominent places of labour concentration.

IX. The Committee shall meet at least once in a quarter.

This Order shall come into force on the date of publication in the Official Gazette.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amalia O. F. Pinto, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 17th November, 2021.

Notification

No. 28/2/2021-LAB/497

The following Award passed by the Labour Court-II, at Panaji-Goa on 16-09-2021 in Case No. Ref. LC-II/IT/05/2020 is hereby published as required under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amalia O. F. Pinto, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 12th October, 2021.

IN THE LABOUR COURT-II
GOVERNMENT OF GOA
AT PANAJI

(Before **Shri Suresh N. Narulkar**, Hon'ble
Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. LC-II/IT/05/2020

Shri Gopinath S. Naik,
Flat No. 1, Nazir Residency,
Maqdoom Ashraf Nagar,
Opp. Verna Industrial Estate,
Cortalim-Goa Workman/Party-I
V/s

M/s. Deccan Queen Transport Company,
Opp. D-Link,
Near Navhind Times Godown,
Verna Industrial Estate,
Verna-Goa Employer/Party-II

Workman/Party-I remained absent.

Employer/Party-II remained absent.

Panaji, Dated: 16-09-2021.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Goa, by Order dated 23-04-2020, bearing No. 28/9/2020-LAB/253/L referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Labour Court II of Goa at Panaji-Goa.

"(1) Whether Shri Gopinath S. Naik, overall in-charge of the Verna Branch of M/s. Deccan Queen Transport Company and having managerial and supervisory, administrative power could be construed as 'Workman' as defined under Section 2 (s) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947)?

2. (a) If the answer to the above is in affirmative, then, whether the action of the management of M/s. Deccan Queen Transport Company, Opp. D-Link, Verna Industrial Estate, Verna-Goa, in terminating services of Shri Gopinath S. Naik, with effect from 12-08-2019, is legal and justified?

(b) If not, what relief the Workperson is entitled to?"

2. On receipt of the reference, a case was registered under No. LC-II/IT/05/2020 and registered A/D notice was issued to the Parties. However, neither the Workman nor the Employer remained present before this court on the scheduled dates of hearings, without any justifiable cause. Ample opportunities have been given to both the parties to appear before this court and pursue/defend their matter. However, both parties failed to remain present before this court and file their pleadings.

3. In the case of **Baldev Singh v/s The Judge, Central Government Industrial Tribunal & Labour Court & ors., reported in 2007 II CLR 685**, the Hon'ble High Court of Rajasthan by referring the judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Virendra Bhandri v/s Rajasthan State Road Corporation Ltd. & ors., reported in (2002) 9 SCC** observed as under:

".....a reference of certain Industrial Dispute was made to the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court. The workman did not appear before the Tribunal, therefore, Tribunal held that there remains no Industrial Dispute. However, subsequently, the Appropriate Government again referred the dispute to the Tribunal on the same question and on this occasion, the Tribunal adjudicated the matter and made an award. The High Court held that the finding recorded by the Tribunal in the first reference

amounted to an "award" and, therefore, second reference was incompetent. The Hon'ble Apex Court held that all that was stated was that the parties concerned had not appeared before the Tribunal and in such an event, the Tribunal should have noted its inability to record the finding on the issue referred to it, not that the dispute itself does not exist. When there is no adjudication of the matter on merits, it cannot be said that the industrial dispute does not exist. If the industrial dispute still exists as is opined by the Government, such a matter can be referred under Section 10 of the I.D. Act, as Industrial Disputes are preferred to the Labour Court or the Industrial Tribunal for maintenance of industrial peace and not merely for adjudication of the dispute between two private parties. Therefore, it was permissible for the Government to have made the second reference.".

The principle laid down by the Hon'ble High Court in its aforesaid judgment binds a precedent upon me.

4. Applying the law laid down by the Hon'ble High Court in its aforesaid judgment, in the case in hand, no pleadings have been filed by the workman as well as Employer before this court and as such I am unable to record the findings on the issue referred to me.

5. In the circumstances, I pass the following order:

ORDER

1. It is hereby held that this court is unable to record the finding on the issue referred by the Appropriate Government.

2. No order as to costs.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-

(Suresh N. Narulkar),
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court-II.

Notification

No. 28/2/2021-LAB/498

The following Award passed by the Labour Court-II, at Panaji-Goa on 17-09-2021 in Case No. Ref. LC-II/IT/04/2017 is hereby published as required under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amalia O. F. Pinto, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 11th October, 2021.

IN THE LABOUR COURT-II
GOVERNMENT OF GOA
AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Suresh N. Narulkar, Hon'ble
Presiding Officer)

Case No. Ref. LC-II/IT/04/2017

Shri Sanjay Hirlekar,
r/o. H. No.77/A, Gantamorod,
Aquem Baixo,
Navelim, Salcete-Goa Workman/Party I
V/s

M/s. A. A. Walker Estates Pvt. Ltd.,
6/194, Kobra Waddo,
Calangute, Bardez-Goa Employer/Party II
Party-I/Workman absent, marked as Ex-parte.

Party-II/Employer represented by Adv. Shri P.
Chawdikar.

Panaji, Dated: 17-09-2021.

AWARD

1. In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Goa, by Order dated 02-03-2017, bearing No. 28/02/2017-LAB/111, referred the following dispute for its adjudication to this Labour Court of Goa at Panaji-Goa.

"(1) Whether the action of management of M/s. A. A. Walker Estates Private Limited, Calangute, Bardez, Goa in terminating the services of their Workman, Shri Sanjay Hirlekar, Driver, with effect from 27-10-2015, is legal and justified?"

"(2) If not, to what relief the Workman is entitled?"

2. On receipt of the reference, a case was registered under No. LC-II/IT/04/2017 and registered A/D notice was issued to the Parties. In pursuance to the said notice, the Parties put in their appearance. The Workman/Party-I (for short 'Workman') filed his Statement of Claim on 04-08-2017 at Exb. 8. The facts of the case, in brief, as pleaded by the Workman are that he joined in the services of the Employer/Party-II (for short "Employer") as a driver w.e.f. December, 2007 at an initial wage of Rs. 6500/- per month. He stated that his job timing was not fixed and he was made to work for 24 hours. He stated that on 09-10-2015, his mother Smt. Devta Hirlekar fell sick on account of low blood pressure. He stated that he immediately attended to his mother from 09-10-2015 till 11-10-2015 and joined for his duties on 12-10-2015. He stated that he personally informed Mr. Chaudhary, the Director of the Employer that

he would not attend his duties from 09-10-2015 to 11-10-2015 on account of sickness of his mother. He stated that said Mr. Chaudhary had permitted him to go on leave for those three days. He stated that the said Mr. Chaudhary temporarily left the Employer and went to Calcutta for his holidays.

3. He stated that when he joined for his duties on 12-10-2015, he was verbally told by the Managing Director, Shri Ashwin Chandiok that his services have already been terminated and that he was told to wait in the office to get his salary. He stated that from 12-10-2015 till 27-10-2015, he was attending the office of the Employer to get his salary. He stated that as at the relevant time, the Peon of the Employer, Shri Ganesh Upadhyay was on leave, he was told to prepare tea to the directors and other staff on duty for about two to three days with an intension to humiliate and insult him. He stated that he was also told to park the car of Major Vinod Sharma. He stated that he used to agree all such orders as he did not want to lose his job as a driver. He stated that on 26-10-2015, when he approached the Managing Director, Shri Ashwin Chandiok in his cabin in the presence of Mr. Dev (CEO of the Employer), he was abused by the Managing Director and told that his services are no longer required for the Employer. He stated that when he asked about his pending wages to the CEO of the Employer, he was told that they will settle his wages on 27-10-2015. He stated that he visited the Employer's office to receive his pending dues and wages, however, the Employer failed to pay his legal dues including wages. He stated that he called the Managing Director of the Employer, however, he refused to accept that any payment were due to him from the Employer and told him that he could go and complain to any authority.

4. He stated that he was earlier removed from service by the Employer on 01-10-2014 without any notice. He stated that he had to remain at home without any employment for almost two months. He stated that he was removed from service on 01-10-2014 on account of the fact that he had submitted his food bills when he was on duty. He stated that he had to eat out as could not reach home for food. He stated that the practice of giving the food bills while he was on duty and was accepted by the Employer from the year 2007. He stated that the said issue of bills was raked up suddenly in October, 2014 to illegally expel him. He stated that the Managing Director of the Employer permitted him to furnish his food bills when he was out on Employer's duty and could not reach home to eat his meals. He stated that he was reinstated back in service on 17-11-2014.

5. He stated that he has undergone tremendous hardships, trouble, pain and sufferings during the span of his employment with the Employer as he was ill-treated, humiliated, harassed, abused and insulted over and over again and finally removed from his employment on 27-10-2015 for no reason. He stated that on many occasions, he was deliberately marked and shown as late on duty as the Employer knew that he would never reach on time for his work with an electric scooter provided to him by the Employer. He stated that he was also provided with a bike on rent by the Employer before providing him with an electric scooter. He stated that he was not even paid for the bike rent of Rs. 6000/- for August and September, 2014 which was paid by him from his salary. He stated that he has not been paid wages for the period from April, 2014 till July, 2014 @ Rs. 3200/- each total amounting to Rs. 12800/-. He stated that in addition to the aforesaid amount of Rs. 12800/-, he is also entitled to receive from the Employer an amount of Rs. 1,21,265/- (Rupees one lakh twenty one thousand two hundred sixty five only). He stated that he was exploited and arbitrarily removed from his employment without giving him any prior notice and without affording any hearing w.e.f. 27-10-2015. He stated that no notice/show-cause notice of termination has been served upon him. He stated that the action of the Employer in alleged discharging/retrenching with effect from 27-10-2015 is therefore arbitrary, illegal and unjustified as the principles of natural justice has not been complied with. He submitted that the action of the Employer in terminating his services is in violation of Section 25-F of the I.D. Act, 1947. The Workman therefore prayed for setting aside his order of termination by declaring that the action of the Employer in terminating his services is illegal and unjustified and that the Employer be directed to pay an amount of Rs. 3,00,565/- (Rupees three lakhs five hundred sixty five only) to him towards unpaid rental accommodation, bike rent and compensation for mental torture and agony caused to him.

6. The Employer resisted the claim of the Workman by filing its Written Statement on 20-09-2017 at Exb. 10. The Employer, as and by way of its preliminary objections, submitted that the present claim filed by the Workman cannot be construed as an 'industrial dispute' as defined u/s 2 (k) of the I.D. Act, 1947, that the present claim is filed with malafide intensions and with ulterior motives, that the reference is bad-in-law and that the services of the Workman was terminated on account of loss of confidence by way of discharge simplicitor.

7. The Employer stated that it is real estate company and having its office at Cobra Vado, Calangute, Bardez, Goa. The Employer admitted that the Workman was employed with them as a 'car driver' w.e.f. August, 2009 on a monthly salary of Rs. 6000/- per month. The Employer stated that besides that salary, the Workman was also given the benefits of room rent, medical, electricity and water bills were paid by them. The Employer stated that the total monthly salary paid to the Workman as on the date of his discharge was Rs. 13200/- per month, besides additional petrol allowance of Rs. 800/- per month and house rent allowances of Rs. 5500/- per month. The Employer stated that the Workman used to remain unauthorizedly absent from his duties for 10 days for the year 2009-2010, 27 days for the year 2010-2011, 35 days for the year 2011-12, 40 days for the year 2012-2013, 42 days for the year 2013-2014, 129 days for the year 2014-2015 and 101 days for the year 2015-2016. The Employer stated that from the records, it can be clearly seen that the Workman was irregular and had practically stopped attending his duties as per their expectation from the year 2014 onwards. The Employer stated that the Workman was prone to be absent from work without any notice or without taking sanction for leave. The Employer stated that the Workman was counseled on several occasions. The Employer stated that the Workman was also given warnings on several occasions by its Managing Director.

8. The Employer stated that they had not permitted the Workman to go on leave for the period of 09-10-2015 till 11-10-2015 as alleged nor the Director, Mr. Chaudhary was on his holidays to Calcutta. The Employer stated that in spite of the payment of substantial/attractive amount of salary and allowances to the Workman, he was not diligent in his duties and was habitual unauthorized absence. The Employer stated that the Workman was given several opportunities to improve his behaviour. The Employer stated that subsequently, on account of his several behavioral aspects/issues, the Employer was left with no other options rather than to discharge him on the ground of loss of confidence. The Employer stated that the Workman was prone to ask for advances from them and would create tantrums in case it was denied or take money for fuel for the cars or later say to put it as advance in his name. The Employer stated that the Workman had taken advances total amounting to Rs. 2,32,682/- (Rupees two lakhs thirty two thousand six hundred eighty two only) on various occasions. The Employer stated that they have recovered an amount of Rs. 1,24,731/- (Rupees one lakh twenty

four thousand seven hundred thirty one only) from the salary of the Workman and the balance of amount of Rs. 1,07,951/- (Rupees one lakh seven thousand nine hundred fifty one only) is yet to be recovered. The Employer stated that from the advances taken by the Workman on various occasions, the Workman was not prepared to work but was always asking for money. The Employer stated that in view of the aforesaid misbehavior of the Workman, they had issued him a notice for one month and discharged him from service after the notice period by way of a discharge simplicitor. The Employer stated that its decision in terminating the services of the Workman is therefore legal, just and not in contravention of any law as alleged. The Employer denied the overall case as pleaded by the Workman and prayed for dismissal of the present reference.

9. Thereafter, the Workman filed his Re-joinder on 13-11-2017 at Exb. 11. The Workman, by way of his Re-joinder denied each and every statement, averments and submissions made by the Employer vide their Written Statement filed in the present proceedings and reiterates and confirms the statements, averments and submissions made by him in his statement of claim.

10. Based on the pleadings filed by the parties' hereinabove, this court framed the following issues on 31-07-2018 at Exb. 19.

1. Whether the Workman/Party I proves that the action of the Employer in terminating his services with effect from 27-10-2015 is illegal and unjustified?
2. Whether the Employer/Party II proves that the present reference is not maintainable in view of the reasons mentioned in para (a) to (d) of its Written Statement?
3. Whether the Workman/Party I is entitled to any relief?
4. What Order? What Award?

11. My answers to the aforesaid issues are as under:

- (a) Issue No. 1 : In the negative.
- (b) Issue No. 2 : In the negative.
- (c) Issue No. 3 and 4 : As per final order.

REASONS

12. After framing issues, the matter was fixed for the evidence of the Workman. The Workman also filed his affidavit in evidence and produced on record certain documents in support of his oral evidence. Thereafter, the Workman filed an application for seeking to lead secondary evidence,

which has been allowed by order dated 13-02-2020 after hearing both parties. The Workman filed an application for amendment of his Claim Statement, vide his application dated 04-03-2020. The Employer also filed reply dated 03-02-2021 to the aforesaid application of the Workman for amendment of his Claim Statement and the matter was fixed for arguments on 17-02-2021. On 05-03-2021, Ld. Adv. Shri A. D'Souza h/f Adv. M. Lobo remained present and orally prayed for time to withdraw his appearance on behalf of the Workman and case was adjourned to 12-04-2021. However, on and from 12-04-2021 till 13-08-2021, neither the Workman nor his Adv. Shri M. Lobo remained present. On the contrary, Ld. Adv. M. Naik h/f Adv. P. Chawdikar remained present on behalf of the Employer and submitted that the Workman is not interested in pursuing his reference and prayed for passing an ex-parte order. It is noticed that neither the Workman nor his Adv. Shri. M. Lobo remained present on the scheduled dates of hearings and as such this court passed an ex-parte order against the Workman and also closed his evidence. Ld. Adv. Shri M. Naik, representing the Employer submitted that since the Workman has not lead his evidence, the Employer also does not wish to lead any evidence.

13. In the case of **V.N.S. Engineering Services v/s. Industrial Tribunal of Goa, Daman and Diu and Anr.**, reported in 1987 II LLN 968 of Hon'ble High Court of Bombay has held that "*there is nothing in the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 that indicates a departure from the general rule that he who approaches a court for relief, should prove his case i.e. the obligation to lead evidence to establish an allegation, the test being that he who does not lead evidence, must fail. The Hon'ble High Court of Bombay further held that the provisions of Rule-10-B of the I.D. (Central Rules), 1957 which requires the party raising a dispute to file a statement of demands relating only to the issue in the order of reference for adjudication within 15 days from the receipt of the order of reference and forward copies to the opposite party involved, clearly indicates that the party who raises the industrial disputes is bound to prove the contention raised by him and an Industrial Tribunal or Labour Court would be erring in placing the burden of proof on the other party to the dispute*".

14. In the case of **V.K. Raj Industries v/s Labour Court (I) and Ors.** reported in the year 1981 (29) FLR, 194 of Allahabad High Court has held that the proceedings before the Industrial Tribunal are judicial in nature, even though the Indian Evidence Act, is not applicable to the proceeding before the

Industrial Court, but the principle underlying the said Act are applicable. The High Court has held that *"it is well settled that if a party challenges the validity of an order and if no evidence is produced, the party invoking the jurisdiction must fail. The High Court has also held that if the Workman fails to appear or to file Written Statement or produce evidence, the dispute referred by the Government cannot be answered in favour of the Workman and he will not be entitled any relief"*.

15. The principle laid down by the Hon'ble High Court in its respective case still holds good. Applying the law laid down by the Hon'ble High Court, in the instant case, the order of reference has been issued by the Government of Goa at the instance of the Workman, who has raised the present dispute pertaining to the legality and justifiability of the action of management of the Employer in terminating his services with effect from 27-10-2015. The Workman also appeared before this court and filed his pleadings, setting up the grounds of challenge of his order of termination from service by the Employer w.e.f. 27-10-2015. The Employer resisted the claim of the Workman by contending that its decision in terminating the services of the Workman by way of discharge on account of loss of confidence in him. The court also framed certain issues based on the pleadings filed by the respective parties. It was therefore incumbent upon the Workman to prove his case by leading material evidence either oral or documentary. However, the roznama proceedings maintained by this court indicates that the Workman failed to remain present even after seeking several opportunities. Consequently, the evidence of the Workman was closed. Thus, the Workman failed to prove any of the issues burdened upon him. In the circumstances, in the absence of any evidence on record, it is held that the Workman failed to prove that the action of the Employer in terminating his services w.e.f. 27-10-2015 is illegal and unjustified. The Workman is therefore not entitled to any relief. The Employer also did not lead evidence in rebuttal. The issue No. 1 to 3 are therefore answered in the negative.

In view of above, I proceed to pass the following order:

ORDER

1. In the absence of any evidence on record, it is held that the action of management of M/s. A. A. Walker Estates Private Limited, Calangute, Bardez, Goa in terminating the services of their Workman, Shri. Sanjay Hirlekar, Driver, with effect from 27-10-2015, is legal and justified.

2. The Workman, Shri Sanjay Hirlekar, Driver, is not entitled to any relief.
3. No order as to costs.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(Suresh N. Narulkar),
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court-II.

Department of Law & Judiciary Law (Establishment) Division

Order

No. 14/13/2020-LD(Estt.)/2313

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 (2) of the Goa Administrative Tribunal Act, 1965, the Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Ms. D. V. Patkar, District Judge-2 and Additional Sessions Judge, Margao, South Goa, as President of the Goa Administrative Tribunal, Panaji, on deputation basis under the Goa Administrative Tribunal (Appointment, Conditions of service of President and Additional President) Rules, 2010, with effect from the date she takes over the charge, till the post of the President is filled up on regular basis.

This is issued on the recommendation of the High Court of Bombay, conveyed vide their confidential letter No. A-1220/G/97/2177/2021 dated 09-11-2021.

The deputation of Ms. D.V. Patkar, will be governed by the usual terms of deputation.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amir Y. Parab, Under Secretary (Law-Estt.).

Porvorim, 15th November, 2021.

Order

No. 9-18-2004-LD(Estt.)Part-II(40)/2315

Read: Order No. 9-18-2004-LD (Estt.) Part-II(40)/1961 dated 27-11-2020.

Whereas, the Government vide Notification No. 9-18-2004-LD(Estt.)Part-II(40)/3085 dated 10-07-2009, published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 17 dated 23-07-2009, appointed Mr. Paulo Francisco Rodrigues, Advocate (hereinafter referred as the "Applicant") as a Notary for period of five years with effect from 10-07-2009, for the area of Tiswadi Taluka;

And whereas, the Government vide certificate of practice dated 10-07-2009 has certified that the applicant is authorized to practice as a Notary for a period of five years from 10-07-2009 for the area of Tiswadi Taluka;

And whereas, the Government on the request of the applicant had renewed his certificate of practice as a Notary for subsequent 01 term and the period of validity of the certificate of practice in the endorsement dated 13-11-2014 issued to the applicant and has expired on 09-07-2019.

And whereas, the applicant has failed to renew his certificate of practice six months prior to expiry of the period of validity of the said certificate of practice until his period expired on i.e. 09-07-2019, as required under sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) and sub-rule (4) of Rule 8B of the Notaries Rules, 1956 (hereinafter referred as the "said Act" and "said Rule");

And whereas, the Government vide Order No. 9-18-2004-LD(Estt.)Part-II(40)/1961 dated 27-11-2020 published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 37 dated 10-12-2020; the Government of Goa removed the name of applicant entered as a Notary from the register maintained by it under Section 4 of the said Act; in pursuance of Clause (f) of Section 10 of the said Act.

And whereas, the applicant in letter dated 06-09-2021 had requested the Government to consider his renewal and withdraw the order 9-18-2004-LD(Estt.)Part-II(40)/1961 dated 27-11-2020 published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 37 dated 10-12-2020; as he was disturbed, since his wife was suffering from ovarian cancer and he lost some of his close relatives to COVID-19 pandemic.

And whereas, the Government has decided to consider his request and withdraw the Order No. 9-18-2004-LD(Estt.)Part-II(40)/1961 dated 27-11-2020 published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 37 dated 10-12-2020;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Clause(f) of Section 10 of the said Act, the read Section 21 of the general clauses, the Government of Goa hereby rescinds Government Order No. 9-18-2004-LD(Estt.)Part-II(40)/1961 dated 27-11-2020 published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 37 dated 10-12-2020.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amir Y. Parab, Under Secretary (Law-Estt.).

Porvorim, 16th November, 2021.

Department of Public Health

Order

No. 4/2/2021-II/PHD/2115

Read: Memorandum No. 4/2/2021-II/PHD/1885 dated 08-10-2021.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/30(3)/2021/315 dated 20-09-2021, Government is pleased to appoint Shri Mohit Kumar Pandey to the post of Assistant Professor in Medical Imaging Technology (Group "A" Gazetted) in Allied Health Science Courses in Goa Medical College & Hospital, Bambolim-Goa in Level-11 of Pay Matrix [Pay Band-3 Rs. 15,600-39,100/- + G.P. Rs. 6,600/- (pre-revised)] with immediate effect.

Shri Mohit Kumar Pandey shall be on probation for a period of two years.

Shri Mohit Kumar Pandey has been medically examined by the Medical Board and his character and antecedents have been verified by the District Magistrate, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa at the time of appointment as Lecturer in Medical Imaging Technology, in Allied Health Science Courses in Goa Medical College & Hospital, Bambolim.

The appointment is made against the vacancy occurred due to creation vide Order No. 4/1/2018-II/PHD/1195 dated 14-08-2019 & bifurcation order No. 4/1/2018-II/PHD/Part-I/3383 dated 23-10-2020 for the post of Assistant Professor in Medical Imaging Technology, Allied Health Science Courses, in Goa Medical College & Hospital, Bambolim-Goa.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Trupti B. Manerkar, Under Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 17th November, 2021.

Order

No. 22/2/2020-I/PHD/2453

Read: Memorandum No. 22/2/2020-I/PHD dated 26-03-2021.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/24(2)/2020/785 dated 27-11-2020, Government is pleased to appoint the following candidates to the post of Tutor in Institute of Nursing Education under Directorate of Health Services (Group "B"

Non-Gazetted) on temporary basis in the Level-6 of Pay Matrix of 7th Pay Commission [Pay Band-3 Rs. 9,300-34,800 + Grade Pay of Rs. 4200/- (pre-revised)] with immediate effect and as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above:-

1. Mitchel Alleluia Fernandes.
2. Olinda Fatima Toscano.
3. Fiola Savia Dorothy D'Costa.
4. Akshata Anant Mone.
5. Candida Maria D'souza.
6. John Mark Mota.
7. Cassandra Patricia Sequeira.
8. Gajanan Kashiram Kalangutkar (OBC).
9. Sanjita Shamsundar Gawande (OBC).
10. Conceicao Vaz (ST).
11. Sheelpa Manohar Gaonkar (ST).

The above candidates shall be on probation for a period of two years.

The above candidates have been declared medically fit by the Medical Board.

The character and antecedents of above candidates have been verified by the District Magistrates of North Goa District, Panaji-Goa and South Goa District, Margao-Goa.

The appointments are made against the vacancies occurred due to creation of the posts vide Order No. 22/5/2013-I/PHD dated 30-05-2016 and subsequently revived vide Order No. 22/7/2020-I/PHD/635 dated 24-03-2021.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Gautami S. Parmekar, Under Secretary (Health).
Porvorim, 17th November, 2021.

Department of Printing & Stationery

Order

No. 8/15/98-P&S/827

On recommendation of Goa Public Service Commission vide their letter No. COM/II/11/39(1)/2021/361 dated 13-10-2021, the Government of Goa is pleased to promote Shri Samir N. Naik, Artist Retoucher-cum-Compose Matter Paster and Designer, Department of Printing & Stationery to the Post of Deputy Director (Technical), Group 'B' Gazetted in the Pay Scale of PB- 2 Rs. 9,300-34,800 + Grade Pay Rs. 4,600/- (Level -7) with immediate effect.

He shall be on probation for a period of two years.

He shall exercise option for fixation of his pay in the promotional grade, in terms of provision of F.R. 22(I)(a)(1), within a period of one month from the date of receipt of this order.

The expenditure on his salary shall be debited to the Budget Head of Account "2058—Stationery & Printing, 00, 001—Direction & Administration, 01—Director (N.P.), 01—Salaries".

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rajendra D. Mirajkar, Director/Additional Secretary (Printing & Stationery).

Panaji, 18th November, 2021.

Department of Revenue

Notification

No. 16/1/7/2021-Rev-I/8839

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 198 of the Goa Land Revenue Code, 1968 (Act No. 9 of 1969) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Code"), the Government of Goa hereby delegates the powers conferred upon it under Section 35 of the said code to the Collectors appointed under Section 4 of the said code in respect of land required for setting up of common facility center under the Scheme of Fund for Regeneration of Traditional Industries (SFURTI) of the Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprise, Government of India, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sapna S. N. Bandodkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I)-Link.

Porvorim, 15th November, 2021.

Department of Transport

Directorate of Transport

Notification

No. D. Tpt./STA/2565/2020/2988

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (1) and (2) of Section 68 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988) read with Section 21 of the General Clauses Act, 1897 (Act No.10 of 1987), the Government of Goa, hereby amends the Government Notification No. D.Tpt./STA/2565/2020/3105 dated 29-12-2020 published in

the Official Gazette, Series II No. 40 dated 31-12-2020, and further re-constitute The State Transport Authority for Goa and the Regional Transport Authority for Panaji respectively, hereunder:-

State Transport Authority for Goa

- | | |
|--|---------------------|
| I. Secretary, Transport | — Chairman. |
| II. Director of Transport | — Member Secretary. |
| III. Shri Pramod Prabhakar
Kamat, Mahalasa Prasad Bldg.,
St. Caitanowaddo, Mercedes,
Tiswadi-Goa
Mob. No. 9822984848 | — Member. |
| IV. Shri Sebastiao Damiao Pereira,
H. No. 81, Chichalim Unddar,
Vasco-Da-Gama, Goa 403711.
Mob. No. 9822482721 | — Member. |
| V. Shri Vinod Sahadev Kinlekar,
Sapana Enclave II,
Flat No. Ga-3, Near Ganesh
Temple, New Vaddem,
Vasco-Goa
Mob. No. 8408985137 | — Member. |

Regional Transport Authority for Panaji

- | | |
|--|---------------------|
| I. Dy. Director of Transport
(South) Margao | — Chairman. |
| II. The Asstt. Director of
Transport (Enforcement)
North, Panaji Goa | — Member Secretary. |
| III. Shri Edgar Antonio Dos
Santos Gaspar,
Wagle Appartment, 1st Floor,
Flat No. 3, La Campala
Colony, Miramar, Panjim Goa.
Mob. No. 8888771771 | — Member. |

This Notification shall come into force with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rajan Satardekar, Director & ex officio Addl. Secretary (Transport).

Panaji, 18th November, 2021.

www.goaprintingpress.gov.in

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,
Government Printing Press,
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE—Rs. 14.00

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING PRESS, PANAJI-GOA—265/150—11/2021.